UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,145	08/21/2006	Richard Beliveau	0480-0165PUS1	5957
2292 7590 01/30/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			GUSSOW, ANNE	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/556,145	BELIVEAU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANNE M. GUSSOW	1643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 No</u>	ovember 2008					
	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,14,15,32 and 36-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,14,15,32 and 36-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · ·						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
					3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
doe the attached detailed emice detail for a list of the defining copies het received.						
Attach manut/a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/556,145 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 10, 32, and 37 have been amended.

Claims 1-9, 11-13, 16-31, 33-35, and 39-54 have been cancelled.

2. Claims 10, 14, 15, 32, and 36-38 are under examination.

Objections Withdrawn

3. The objection to claim 14 is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claim identifier.

Rejections Maintained

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. The rejection of claims 32 and 36-38 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gabathuler, et al. is maintained.

Applicant's response filed November 6, 2008 has been carefully considered but is deemed not to be persuasive. The response states that, Gabathuler discloses only p97 proteins that are conjugated, i.e., covalently attached, to some other therapeutic moiety. The Examiner agreed with this interpretation of Gabathuler during the interview. In the present invention, there is no such conjugation to the p97 protein. The Examiner's position is that the "comprising" language in claim 32 provides inclusion of additional elements to the claim. As explained in the interview, at least in this instance, such an interpretation is not reasonable, as it completely vitiates the recited limitations in the claims. ... In the present instance, the claim recites a soluble p97 or fragment thereof. There is no mention at all of any covalent attachment to any other substance, and to read the claim as possibly including such is to introduce into the invention an aspect not contemplated by the inventors. Applicants note that the specification does not include any reference to p97 covalently attached to any kind of another molecule (see response page 5).

In response to this argument, the claims recite the limitation of a pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of p97. The composition of Gabathuler comprises p97.

The transitional term "comprising", which is synonymous with "including," "containing," or "characterized by," is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements or method steps. See, e.g., *Mars Inc. v. H.J. Heinz Co.,* 377 F.3d 1369, 1376, 71 USPQ2d 1837, 1843 (Fed. Cir. 2004) ("like the term comprising," the terms containing" and mixture" are open-ended."). *Invitrogen Corp. v. Biocrest Mfg.*, L.P., 327 F.3d 1364, 1368, 66 USPQ2d 1631, 1634 (Fed. Cir. 2003)

Art Unit: 1643

("The transition comprising" in a method claim indicates that the claim is open-ended and allows for additional steps."); *Genentech, Inc. v. Chiron Corp.*, 112 F.3d 495, 501, 42 USPQ2d 1608, 1613 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ("Comprising" is a term of art used in claim language which means that the named elements are essential, but other elements may be added and still form a construct within the scope of the claim.); *Moleculon Research Corp. v. CBS, Inc.*, 793 F.2d 1261, 229 USPQ 805 (Fed. Cir. 1986); In re Baxter, 656 F.2d 679, 686, 210 USPQ 795, 803 (CCPA 1981); *Ex parte Davis*, 80 USPQ 448, 450 (Bd. App. 1948) ("comprising" leaves "the claim open for the inclusion of unspecified ingredients even in major amounts"). In *Gillette Co. v. Energizer Holdings Inc.*, 405F.3d 1367, 1371-73, 74 USPQ2d 1586, 1589-91 (Fed. Cir. 2005), the court held that a claim to "a safety razor blade unit comprising a guard, a cap, and a group of first, second, and third blades" encompasses razors with more than three blades because the transitional phrase "comprising" in the preamble and the phrase "group of" are presumptively openended. See MPEP 2111.03

Therefore after a fresh consideration of the claims and the evidence provided the rejection is maintained.

6. The rejection of claims 10, 14, 15, 32, and 36-38 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jefferies, et al. is maintained.

Applicant's response filed November 6, 2008 has been carefully considered but is deemed not to be persuasive. The response states that Jefferies, like Gabathuler, only describes therapeutic uses of p97 for treating cancer in the context of a conjugate to another therapeutic moiety. The Examiner might refer to columns 28-29 of the

Art Unit: 1643

reference, which appears to be where this aspect of Jefferies invention is disclosed. So as to advance the present prosecution, the claims have been amended to recite only the subject matter elected following restriction, i.e., to compositions and methods utilizing unconjugated p97 as an active ingredient (see response page 6).

In response to this argument, claim 10 requires the limitation "soluble p97". Applicant has not provided evidence that a conjugated p97 would not be soluble and the claims do not recite the limitation "unconjugated". Further, the composition claims recite the limitation of a pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of p97. The composition of Jefferies comprises p97.

The transitional term "comprising", which is synonymous with "including," "containing," or "characterized by," is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements or method steps. See, e.g., *Mars Inc. v. H.J. Heinz Co.*, 377 F.3d 1369, 1376, 71 USPQ2d 1837, 1843 (Fed. Cir. 2004) ("like the term comprising," the terms containing and mixture" are open-ended."). *Invitrogen Corp. v. Biocrest Mfg.*, L.P., 327 F.3d 1364, 1368, 66 USPQ2d 1631, 1634 (Fed. Cir. 2003) ("The transition comprising" in a method claim indicates that the claim is open-ended and allows for additional steps."); *Genentech, Inc. v. Chiron Corp.*, 112 F.3d 495, 501, 42 USPQ2d 1608, 1613 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ("Comprising" is a term of art used in claim language which means that the named elements are essential, but other elements may be added and still form a construct within the scope of the claim.); *Moleculon Research Corp. v. CBS, Inc.*, 793 F.2d 1261, 229 USPQ 805 (Fed. Cir. 1986); In re Baxter, 656 F.2d 679, 686, 210 USPQ 795, 803 (CCPA 1981); *Ex parte Davis*, 80 USPQ 448, 450 (Bd. App. 1948) ("comprising" leaves "the claim open for the inclusion of unspecified

ingredients even in major amounts"). In *Gillette Co. v. Energizer Holdings Inc.*, 405F.3d 1367, 1371-73, 74 USPQ2d 1586, 1589-91 (Fed. Cir. 2005), the court held that a claim to "a safety razor blade unit comprising a guard, a cap, and a group of first, second, and third blades" encompasses razors with more than three blades because the transitional phrase "comprising" in the preamble and the phrase "group of" are presumptively openended. See MPEP 2111.03

Therefore after a fresh consideration of the claims and the evidence provided the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

- 7. No claims are allowed.
- 8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/556,145 Page 7

Art Unit: 1643

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to ANNE M. GUSSOW whose telephone number is

(571)272-6047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 am - 5

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on (571) 272-0832. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anne M. Gussow

January 26, 2009

/David J Blanchard/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643